Grade. The question is whether it was not from these Deputy Collectors, District Registrars and Under Secretaries to Government that these appointments were made, and whether the present appointment is according to those rules?"

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN :—"Yes, Sir."

DR. V. K. JOHN :—"May I know whether the Government appoint any person whom they like and is that the best person in the opinion of the Government for the post?"

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN :—"Government take into consideration several factors before they make the appointment."

UNSTARRED QUESTIONS.

List of Government officers in all departments of the State under suspension.

29 *Q.*—SRI T. PURUSHOTHAM : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to lay on the table of the House a list of Government officers in all departments of the State who are under suspension pending enquiry into the charges against them, showing the dates from which they have been under suspension and the reasons for delay, if any, in the disposal of each case?

A.—A list [a] is placed on the table of the House.

Expenditure for the development of rural water-supplies.

30 *Q.*—DR. A. SREENIVASAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Health be pleased to lay on the table of the House a statement showing—

(*a*) the amount of money expended year by year for the development of rural water-supplies from 1953–54 up-to-date;

(*b*) the nature of improvements done to rural water-supplies;

(*c*) the number of new wells sunk, the number of old wells improved, the number of wells in which fresh water was struck, the number of wells in which water was not struck and the number of wells actually put in use and that are now in use from 1952 onwards;

(*d*) the number of wells sunk or dug in private properties; and

(*e*) the technical and administrative authorities who are responsible for the expenditure of money on the improvement of rural water-supplies?

A.—(*a*) *to* (*e*) A statement [b] is placed on the table of the House.

---

[a] Printed as Appendix II on pages 51–72 infra.

[b] Printed as Appendix III on page 73 infra.

2nd August 1955]

Collection of revenue under different heads from each district in the State.

31 *Q.*—Dr. A. SREENIVASAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance and Education be pleased to lay on the table of the House a statement showing the amount of revenue under different heads received each year from 1949–50 to 1954–55 from each district in the State?

A.—Separate figures of revenue collected in each district are not available with the Government. The Accountant-General furnishes the accounts figures to the Government only by each head of account for all the districts together.

Compilation of districtwar figures of revenue for the years 1949–50 to 1954–55 cannot be done without employing a special staff in the Accountant-General's office to be paid for by this Government and even after such compilation, it is not possible to say that the districtwar figures will be correct, because the transactions of the Forest and Public Works and the transactions carried out in the State headquarters and in the Reserve Bank cannot be so allocated among the districts. Moreover, some of the transactions recorded in the treasury accounts of a particular district may relate to other districts.

Non-availability of good calves of Ongole and Nellore breed for production of vaccine lymph in the King Institute, Guindy.

32 *Q.*—Sri T. M. DAIVASIKHAMANI ACHARIAR : Will the Hon. the Minister for Health be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that after the separation of the Andhra State, good calves of Ongole and Nellore breed are not available for obtaining vaccine-serum in the King Institute, Guindy;

(*b*) how many calves were used for the above purpose therein during the year 1953–54;

(*c*) what was the total quantity of vaccine-serum obtained during the year 1953-54;

(*d*) for how long the vaccine-serum could normally be preserved without deterioration or loss of efficacy; and

(*e*) whether the Government will be pleased to lay on the table of the House a statement showing districtwar the quantity and value of serum supplied to Government hospitals, municipalities and local boards during the year 1953–54?

A.—(*a*) Ongole and Nellore breed calves are unsuitable, as they are too big for the mechanical devices used during the vaccination of calves. So they were never used so far.

(*b*) The number of cow-calves used for the production of vaccine lymph during the year 1953–54 was 1,208.

[2nd August 1955

(c) The total quantity of vaccine lymph manufactured during the year 1953–54 was 6,313,860 doses.

(d) About two years if kept in cold storage at 10 ° C.

(e) A statement [a] showing the districtwar supplies during the calendar year 1953 and 1954 is placed on the table of the House.

List of Municipal Electrical Undertakings acquired by Government.

33 *Q.*—SRI V. V. RAMASWAMI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to lay on the table of the House—

(i) a list of the Municipal Electrical Undertakings acquired by the Government under the Madras Act XLIII of 1949;

(ii) the total number of service connections (domestic, agricultural and industrial) in each undertaking at the time of acquisition;

(iii) total number of such service connections granted subsequent to the acquisition till 1954 year-war;

(iv) the total expenditure incurred by each undertaking under 'Non-technical establishment' each year during the three years before the acquisition;

(v) the total expenditure incurred in such units under 'Non-technical establishment' for each year after the acquisition till 1954;

(vi) the net profit or loss incurred by each undertaking each year during the three years before the acquisition; and

(vii) the net profit or loss incurred in each such units from the year of the acquisition till the end of 1954?

A.—(i) List of Municipal Electrical Undertakings acquired by Government under the Madras Act XLIII of 1949—

Madras System.

1 Kancheepuram.
2 Tiruvallur.

Mettur System.

Tiruvannamalai.

Pykara System.

1 Coonoor.
2 Dharapuram.
3 Dindigul.
4 Kodaikanal.
5 Ootacamund.
6 Palni.
7 Periyakulam.
8 Tiruppur.
9 Udumalpet.

Papanasam System.

1 Sivakasi.
2 Virudhunagar.

---

[a] Printed as Appendix IV on page 74 infra.

2nd August 1955]

(ii) Total number of domestic, agricultural and industrial service connections in each undertaking at the time of acquisition was as follows :—

Serial number and name of undertaking.	Number of domestic connections.	Number of agricultural connections	Number of industrial connections.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 Kancheepuram ..	3,276	59	99
2 Tiruvallur	580	51	15
3 Tiruvannamalai ..	982	25	36
4 Coonoor	1,347	..	36
5 Dharapuram	968	16	22
6 Dindigul	2,201	7	87
7 Kodaikanal	660	..	8
8 Ootacamund	2,425	2	..
9 Palni	978	8	31
10 Periyakulam	1,013	13	18
11 Tiruppur	2,076	42	109
12 Udumalpet	799	26	3
13 Sivakasi	1,312	18	27
14 Virudhunagar	2,711	15	71

(iii) The following statement shows the total number of service connections granted yearwar subsequent to the acquisition till 1954 :—

Serial number, name of undertaking and period.		Number of domestic connections.	Number of agricultural connections.	Number of industrial connections.
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)
1 Kancheepuram ..	1951–52	253	12	2
	1952–53	169	11	1
	1953–54	63	1	4
	4/54–12/54	212	3	4
2 Tiruvallur ..	1951–52	69	16	..
	1952–53	38	6	..
	1953–54	28	5	1
	4/54–12/54	69	9	2
3 Tiruvannamalai ..	1951	55	..	..
	1952	75	1	..
	1953	7	..	..
	1954	93	..	..
4 Coonoor ..	1951	55	..	1
	1952	60	..	2
	1953	20	..	2
	1954	49	..	1
5 Dharapuram ..	1951	59	1	2
	1952	68	..	..
	1953	41	6	..
	1954	86	..	1
6 Dindigul ..	1951	240	2	12
	1952	225	..	4
	1953	112	..	..
	1954	202	..	3
7 Kodaikanal ..	1951	19	..	1
	1952	45	..	..
	1953	29	..	1
	1954	33	..	..

[2nd August 1955

Serial number, name of undertaking and period.		Number of domestic connections.	Number of agricultural connections.	Number of industrial connections.
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)
8 Ootacamund	1951	73	2	4
	1952	145	..	2
	1953	45	51	2
	1954	114	..	4
9 Palni	1951	61	..	..
	1952	75	..	..
	1953	22	..	2
	1954	61	..	..
10 Periyakulam	1951	..	..	..
	1952	74	3	1
	1953	29	1	1
	1954	253	..	..
11 Tiruppur	1951	50	1	4
	1952	108	2	3
	1953	60	3	1
	1954	116	..	4
12 Udumalpet	1951	106	..	3
	1952	93	3	1
	1953	50	2	1
	1954	70	..	2
13 Sivakasi	1951–52	59	..	1
	1952–53	149	1	1
	1953–54	47	..	1
	4/54–12/54	128	..	4
14 Virudhunagar	1951–52	357	5	4
	1952–53	252	1	2
	1953–54	183	..	9
	4/54–12/54	252	1	1

(iv) Total expenditure incurred by each undertaking under "Non-technical establishment" each year during the three years before acquisition is indicated below :—

Serial number and name of undertaking.	1948–49.	1949–50.	1950–51.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	RS.	RS.	RS.
1 Kancheepuram	2,557	2,405	3,376
2 Tiruvallur	3,181	3,103	3,341
3 Tiruvannamalai	2,446	2,725	3,232
4 Coonoor	6,392	5,742	6,912
5 Dharapuram	1,639	2,728	2,942
6 Dindigul	6,321	6,580	7,173
7 Kodaikanal	1,058	1,021	1,026
8 Ootacamund	9,034	10,312	12,475
9 Palni	2,920	3,347	4,104
10 Periyakulam	3,228	3,448	3,557
11 Tiruppur	5,310	6,280	7,041
12 Udumalpet	3,383	4,208	5,179
13 Sivakasi	4,132	5,326	4,956
14 Virudhunagar	8,983	9,794	11,110

TAMIL NADU LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS

2nd August 1955]

(v) Total expenditure incurred in each undertaking under 'Non-technical establishment' for each year after acquisition till 1954 :—

Serial number and name of undertaking.	1951–52.	1952–53.	1953–54.	4/54–12/54.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1 Kancheepuram	16,343	24,349	*	*
2 Tiruvallur	4,571	6,492	*	*
3 Tiruvannamalai	5,851	8,752	*	*
4 Coonoor	7,078	10,273	11,853	6,957
5 Dharapuram	4,783	4,859	8,087	4,833
6 Dindigul	11,233	12,810	17,047	9,846
7 Kodaikanal	3,847	4,980	7,123	7,146
8 Ootacamund	12,829	15,933	19,744	12,861
9 Palni	5,089	6,488	8,529	6,705
10 Periyakulam	3,533	6,633	9,382	5,274
11 Tiruppur	9,179	13,648	15,747	8,811
12 Udamalpet	5,360	6,836	7,024	3,924
13 Sivakasi	3,049	8,918	9,389	*
14 Virudhunagar	11,400	14,976	15,311	*

* Accounts have been integrated with the system accounts and no separate figures are therefore available.

(vi) Net profit or loss incurred by each undertaking each year during the three years before acquisition—

Serial number and name of undertaking.	1948–49.	1949–50.	1950–51.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
1 Kancheepuram	36,842	10,319	— 4,610
2 Tiruvallur	1,255	569	2,816
3 Tiruvannamalai	10,978	11,448	2,217
4 Coonoor	— 11,162	— 6,406	— 22,782
5 Dharapuram	1,133	8,578	7,293
6 Dindigul	31,837	31,623	— 96,482
7 Kodaikanal	19,526	— 2,689	— 31,258
8 Ootacamund	24,672	9,400	391
9 Palni	20,577	15,827	— 4,493
10 Periyakulam	8,372	3,099	586
11 Tiruppur	— 1,258	— 10,571	— 5,331
12 Udamalpet	6,730	372	— 372
13 Sivakasi	1,866	— 1,871	21
14 Virudhunagar	390	26,167	29,571

(vii) Net profit or loss incurred in each undertaking from the year of the acquisition till the end of 1954—

Serial number and name of undertaking.	1951–52.	1952–53.	1953–54.	4/54 to 12-54.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1 Kancheepuram	45,424	35,893	*	*
2 Tiruvallur	17,573	19,424	*	*
3 Tiruvannamalai ..	49,193	63,497	*	*
4 Coonoor	78,235	54,995	55,065	54,924
5 Dharapuram	— 294	688	22,361	11,383
6 Dindigul	58,467	58,759	1,83,200	1,59,319
7 Kodaikanal	4,468	— 2,059	9,941	7,187
8 Ootacamund	79,110	99,566	68,331	95,556
9 Palni	28,609	25,136	46,864	45,255
10 Periyakulam	— 73	2,836	28,859	22,468
11 Tiruppur	45,110	95,568	1,41,394	1,51,630
12 Udamalpet	9,823	14,006	37,477	36,102
13 Sivakasi	17,273	40,311	53,383	*
14 Virudhunagar	1,00,024	1,13,257	1,44,970	*

* Separate figures not available as accounts have been integrated with the System accounts.